

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/604,995	06/28/2000	Cory O. Nykoluk	16513-2424	6151
21888	7590 11/09/2004		EXAMINER	
THOMPSON COBURN, LLP ONE US BANK PLAZA		MAI, TRI M		
SUITE 3500	INK I LAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST LOUIS, MO 63101			3727	

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			9		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/604,995	NYKOŁUK, CORY (o. '		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tri M. Mai	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application appl	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	oly to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ision and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>23 June 2004</u> . App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		th in		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or s	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		separate, timely file	d amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 2-9,12,13 and 18-22.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b)☐ disapproved by	the Examiner.	1		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other: The amended claims do not overcome the rejection over Mao.					
		Tri M. Mai Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3727	lag		



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit as presented does not overcome the rejection over Mao.